

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

REGARDING THE
CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 3**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for the following proposed amendments:

- Clarifying requirements applicable to transfer switches in Essential Electrical Systems for hospitals
- Replacing the name 'negative pressure' isolation room with 'airborne infectious' isolation rooms, to use the same terminology that the 2007 California Building Code and 2007 California Mechanical Code uses
- Replacing the name 'positive pressure' isolation rooms, with 'protective environment' isolation rooms, to use the same terminology that the 2007 California Building Code and 2007 California Mechanical Code uses
- Add clarification that selective coordination requirements do not apply to OSHPD 1,2 and 4 facilities

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)

OSHPD received no public comments regarding the proposed regulations.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

OSHPD has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective, and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES

No alternatives were proposed. OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulations will not have an adverse economic impact on small businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY THE OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE

OSHPD did not receive comments from the Office of Small Business Advocate.

COMMENTS MADE BY THE TRADE AND COMMERCE AGENCY

OSHPD did not receive comments from the Trade and Commerce Agency.